APPENDIX 1: NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN SCREENING FORM

A. Summary of Plan

| Details of Neighbourhood Plan | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Name of Neighbourhood Plan | | | |
| | Armthorpe Neighbourhood Development Plan | | |
| Geographic Coverage of Plan | | | |
| | The Parish of Armthorpe | | |
| Key topics/scope of Plan | The provisional Draft Plan contains the following themes: Housing (allocate 700-800 new homes). Economy Skills and Jobs. Transport and Highways. Development Contributions. Shopping, The village centre and the High Street. Leisure and community facilities. Natural and Built Environment, sustainability and Environmental protection. | | |
| Key Issues | Aims of the plan. Policies to enhance and have regard for Armthorpe as a gateway to Doncaster. To provide housing, leisure, local shopping and community facilities. To play a significant part in the regeneration and growth of Doncaster. | | |
| | Sustainable growth.Enhance the quality and role of the | | |
| | natural environment. | | |
| | Wider access to a range of quality, local and affordable housing. - Endonyour to make the village centre. | | |
| | Endeavour to make the village centre an attractive and safe environment. | | |

| | Provide improved traffic management throughout the Parish. |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Expect to secure the appropriate level of education provision. |
| Date Screening Opinion Requested | 14 th November 2013 |
| Person requesting Screening Opinion | Ken Burley |
| . s.com.equeemig concerning opinion | Ken Burley & Associates |

B. Summary of Screening Opinion

| Local Authority Details | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Name and Job Title of officer producing | Richard Dobson. | | |
| Screening Opinion | Planning Officer. | | |
| Date of assessment | 23.12.13 | | |
| Conclusion of assessment | Is an SEA required? Y/N? No | | |
| Reason for conclusion | The cumulative impact of the Plan's policies and proposals should not give rise to any significant environmental effect. The Plan does not propose a higher level of development than that identified in the DMBC Core Strategy. The land allocations for development within the Plan are fully consistent with the DMBC Core Strategy. There are no sensitive sites such as SSSI in the Parish. Any potential risk to the 'Source Protection Zone' can be mitigated against at the planning application stage. | | |
| Name and Job Title of officer approving Screening Opinion | Jonathan Clarke | | |
| Date of approval | 13.01.2014 | | |

C. Summary of Consultation

| Internal consultation | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Officer (name and job title) | Summary of Comments |
| None required | |
| | |
| | |

| External Consultation | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Officer (name and job title) | Summary of Comments |
| None required | |
| | |
| | |

D. Assessment

| Stage | Y/N | Reason |
|--|-----|---|
| 1. Is the PP (plan or programme) subject to preparation and/or adoption by a national, regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2(a)) | Yes | The Neighbourhood Plan, once independently assessed and subjected to referendum, needs to be formally adopted by the Local Planning Authority to be brought into force. When adopted, Neighbourhood Plans will be statutory planning documents. They will form part of the Local Development Framework and so will have significant weight in planning decisions. |
| 2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2(a)) | No | The plan is being undertaken voluntarily by the Parish Council in accordance with the provisions set out in the Localism Act 2011 |
| 3. Is the PP prepared for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive? (Art 3.2(a)) | Yes | The plan is prepared for land use. Proposed use: Annex I- Not applicable. Annex II – Unable to determine at this stage as future new employment land use may include some uses listed in Annex II. The Plan will inform the determination of planning applications (a form of development consent) |

| 4. Will the PP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an assessment for future development under Article 6 or 7 of the Habitats Directive? (Art. 3.2 (b)) | No | Part of the NP area to the East of the M18 falls within the Humberhead Levels Nature Improvement Area and also the Humberhead Peatlands – Thorne and Hatfield Moors Biodiversity Opportunity Area (SP34). However no new development is proposed for this area The area is proposed to remain as Countryside Policy Protection Area designation. Likewise, no development allocations being proposed are considered to be in close enough proximity to have any negative impacts on Thorne-Hatfield Moors. There are no other sensitive sites, such as SSSI's in the |
|--|-----|---|
| 5. Does the PP Determine the use of small areas at local level, OR is it a minor modification of a PP subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3) | Yes | parish. The NP proposes new sites for Housing and Employment use at the local level. However, the allocations have needed to be in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy which, for example, prescribes a growth range of new housing to the Principal Town of Armthorpe, but did not actually allocate specific sites. |
| 6. Does the PP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art 3.4) | Yes | When adopted, Neighbourhood Plans will be statutory planning documents. They will form part of the Local Development Framework and so will have significant weight in planning decisions. The responsibility for development consent will remain with the Local Authority. |
| 7. Is the PP's sole purpose to serve the national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7? (Art 3.8, 3.9) | No | N/A |
| 8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art. 3.5) | No | The Neighbourhood Plan must be prepared in conformity with the Core Strategy. The development of the Core Strategy was subject to a detailed SA which complied with European Directive 2001/42/EC the Strategic |

Environmental Assessment
Directive. The requirements of
the SA and Strategic
Environmental Assessment
(SEA) were combined in one
process which was
embedded within the Council's
adopted Scoping Report. An
Equalities Impact Assessment
(EIA) and Habitats
Regulation Assessment (HRA)
of the Core Strategy were also
produced and reported
on separately.

The Core Strategy ensures that development is carried out in a sustainable way whilst still allowing the plan to deliver its economic objectives for the borough, and wider region. The appraisal identified that, on the whole, the effects of the Core Strategy over the long term will be mainly positive with some negative effects which will need to be mitigated over the plan period.

Countryside Protection – The draft NP allocates land required to meet its development requirements identified through the Core Strategy. Evidence base (SHLAA, Scenario Testing, ELR) prepared for the Core Strategy identified that allocations in Armthorpe must require urban extensions on land currently allocated as CPA, however such impacts are minimised by a strategy that priorities brownfield regeneration sites. After development allocations have been identified, the draft NP proposes to retain the Countryside Policy Area designation (now CPPA) for the remainder of the land outside of the existing settlement limits which provides for a strong policy basis for continuing to protect the countryside from

inappropriate development.

Green Wedges – The Doncaster Core Strategy identified the broad locations of where it is envisaged GW's will be. These locations are listed in para 6.2 and shown on map 9 (shown as indicative Green wedges). The Draft NP identifies a GW broadly in line with the envisaged locations shown on the map. It would be reasonable to conclude at this stage that a GW boundary is in accordance with the Core Strategy.

Heritage – the draft NP is not considered to have any adverse impact on heritage assets. There are no Conservation Areas in the Parish. Any proposals that may have an impact on listed buildings or heritage assets will need to satisfy requirements of wider LDF policies.

Local Wildlife Sites/SSSI's – the draft NP does not identify any development allocations that would adversely impact on known sites of conservation, either through direct location or proximity to neighbouring sites.

Flood Risk – Development allocations, in particular 'more vulnerable' housing sites, are proposed on land within the zone at lowest risk of flooding (FRZ1) so there are no sequentially preferable sites. However, any subsequent planning applications will still need to be supported by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment and consider making use of SuDS etc. in accordance with wider planning policies e.g. Core Strategy Policy CS4 and the Development & Flood Risk SPD.

